



Probabilistic Seismic Assessment of RC Buildings with Considering the Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction

E. Zamani Beydokhti*, A. M. Taghavi, H. Kouhestanian

Civil Engineering Department, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran.

ABSTRACT: In this paper, the seismic response of base-isolated and fixed-base concrete structures with soil-structure-interaction effect was investigated. The structures with 4, 8, and 12 stories with lead rubber bearing isolators on three types of soils including soft, medium, and firm soils as well as on rigid foundation modeled using OpenSees software V. 2.5.0. The ACI 318-02 code was used to design RC intermediate moment frames. The incremental dynamic analysis was performed to determine the structural response under six near-field and six far-field earthquakes recorded with the same seismic parameters but with different stations. The inter-story drift ratio and failure probability for each level of damage (slight, moderate, extensive, and complete) were calculated and the fragility curves for maximum inter-story drift in different levels of PGA were drawn. The results indicated that considering the soil-structure-interaction decreased the structural damage on both isolated and fixed base structures. Softening the soil under isolated structures resulted in increasing the median fragility acceleration in each level of damage. Furthermore, considering the soil-structure-interaction effect in the low-rise to medium-rise structures (4 and 8 story buildings) has a more significant effect on median fragility accelerations than high-rise buildings. While the effect of the base shear on the 12-story frame was more considerable.

Review History:

Received: Nov. 02, 2019
Revised: Aug. 16, 2019
Accepted: Aug. 17, 2020
Available Online: Sep. 09, 2020

Keywords:

Rc Moment Frame
Iida Analysis
Lrb Isolator
Fragility Curve
Far-Field
Near-Field

1- Introduction

Base isolation is a seismic-resistant approach designed to reduce the seismic demands instead of increasing the capacity of structural members. This technology improves the behavior of structures during large earthquakes, mainly remain in the elastic range [1-3].

In the seismic design of buildings using isolators, it is often assumed that the structures are on rigid foundations, thus the effect of soil-structure interaction is neglected. It seems reasonable to some researchers [4, 5], but some studies believe that assuming the rigid foundation is not always reliable [6, 7]. So, many studies have been done on isolators and the effect of soil-structure interaction. However, more comprehensive studies are needed to study the behavior of different soils and different isolated structures with a large number of scaled records in near-field and far-field earthquakes.

In this paper, the seismic response of base-isolated and fixed-base concrete moment frame structures with soil-structure-interaction (SSI) effect was investigated. For this purpose, three models with four-, eight-, and twelve-story 2-D frames with and without LRB isolators on rigid, soft, medium, and hard soils were modeled in OpenSees V. 2.5.0 software. Six near-field records and six far-field records with the same

components but different stations were selected, scaled in 15 steps, and applied to each of the modeled frames (Fig. 1). Finally, by receiving the relevant answers and presenting those on the incremental dynamic analysis curves, fragility curves, etc., the necessary comparisons were performed.

2- Methodology

In this study, 15 models of 4, 8, and 12 story concrete frames with and without SSI were modeled in OpenSees software (Fig. 2). Isolators designed for different structures [8] and the elastomeric bearing element is used in this paper to model a lead rubber bearing (LRB) isolator in OpenSees software [9].

To consider the effect of soil-structure interaction, the beam on nonlinear Winkler foundation (BNWF) based on Raychowdhury work has been used.

Six near-field records and six far-field records that had the same components but different stations were selected, then each of them was scaled in 15 steps and individually applied to 4, 8, and 12 frames.

Verification in this study has been done in two ways. First, by comparing the analytical 1st modal period of 4, 8, and 12 story frames obtained from Sap2000 software with the period obtained from OpenSees software (Table 1), the accuracy of the modeling in OpenSees software was investigated.

*Corresponding author's email: zamani.eb@shahroodut.ac.ir






Fig. 1. Schematic sharp-crested weirs with triangular shape in plan




Fig. 2. Boundary conditions applied in the numerical model of the present study

Table 1. Comparison of the 1st modal period of modeled Frames

Difference	Period (s)		Model
	Sap2000	OpenSees	
11%	0.792	0.669	4 Story
6%	1.338	1.26	8 Story
8%	1.94	1.77	12 Story

By comparing the difference in period obtained from the two software, it can be concluded that the modeling has been done correctly.

In the second part of the validation, the results obtained from OpenSees software are compared with the experimental results obtained and reported by Frank *et al.* [10]. Comparison of the results shows the acceptable accuracy of the modeling with the software (Fig. 3).

3- Results and Discussion

Based on fragility curves shown in Figs. 4 to 6, the probability of failure in isolated structures is more than the fixed-base structures at peak ground accelerations (PGA). However, as the damage increases and the soil changes from hard to soft, this increase in PGA is continued. In other words, considering the interaction of soil and structure has reduced the damages to structures at the same accelerations.




Fig. 3. Comparison of laboratory concrete frame results and frame that modeled in OpenSees software [10]high, b) medium, c) low




Fig. 4. Fragility curves for 4-story structures with and without SSI in failure states: a) high, b) medium, c) low




Fig. 5. Fragility curves for 8-story structures with and without SSI in failure states: a) high, b) medium, c) low




Fig. 6. Fragility curves for 12-story structures with and without SSI in a) high, b) medium, and c) low failure states

As the soil softens at all levels of damage, the acceleration associated with the median fragility increased, and the drift ratio corresponding to the median fragility in all cases was higher for near-field earthquakes than far-field earthquakes. This can be related to the shock effect in the structures due to near-field earthquakes.

Isolated structures had much higher acceleration in the median fragility than structures with a fixed base, which indicates a reduction in the vulnerability of isolated structures.

4. CONCLUSION

According to the obtained results and comparisons, it can be said that it is necessary to consider the effect of soil-structure interaction on isolated structures. Moreover, the hard and medium soils are introduced as the best type of soil for isolators.

REFERENCES

[1] A.M. Taghavi, E.Z. Beydokhti, H. Kouhestanian, Analytical study of the effect of LRB isolators positioning at the base and inter-story levels on seismic response of RC buildings, *Journal of Structural and Construction Engineer (JSCE)*, 3 (2018) 1-19.
 [2] Catalogues of Bridgestone Corporation, (2013), Characteristics data of Bridgestone’s seismic isolation bearings, Tokyo, Japan
 [3] S. Radkia, R. Rahnnavard, H. Tuwair, F. Abbas, G. kardRebecca, Investigating the effects of seismic

isolators on steel asymmetric structures considering soil-structure interaction, *Structures*, 27 (2020) 1029-1040.
 [4] M.C. Constantinou, M.C. Kneifati, Dynamics of soil-base-isolated-structure systems, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 114(1) (1988) 211-221.
 [5] L. Zou, R. Zhao, J. Zhao, Analysis of the response to earthquake of the pile-soil-isolated structure interaction, *Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 26(6) (2004) 782.
 [6] Spyrakos, C.C., Koutromanos, I.A. and Maniatakis, C.A. (2009). Seismic response of base-isolated buildings including soil-structure interaction. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 29(4), pp.658-668.
 [7] A. Islam, M. Jameel, M.Z. Jumaat, Seismic isolation in buildings to be a practical reality: behavior of structure and installation technique, *Journal of Engineering and Technology Research*, 3(4) (2011) 99-117.
 [8] H. Tavakoli, F. Naghavi, A. Goltabar, Dynamic responses of the base-fixed and isolated building frames under far- and near-fault earthquakes, *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(4) (2014) 2573-2585.
 [9] S. Mazzoni, F. McKenna, M.H. Scott, G.L. Fenves, *OpenSees command language manual*, Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center, 264 (2006).
 [10] F.J. Vecchio, M.B. Emara, Shear Deformation in Reinforced Concrete Frames, *ACI Structural*, 89 (1992) 1.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

E. Zamani Beydokhti, A. M. Taghavi, H. Kouhestanian, *Probabilistic Seismic Assessment of RC Buildings with Considering the Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction. Amirkabir J. Civil Eng.*, 53 (5) (2021) 467-470

DOI: [10.22060/ceej.2020.17302.6520](https://doi.org/10.22060/ceej.2020.17302.6520)

