

Investigation of the optimal design equation for stirrups used in ductile reinforced concrete columns

Abstract

One of the most important properties of concrete structures is their ductile behavior against earthquake. Ductility of a structure includes resisting relatively high plastic deflection without significant reduction of structure strength and absorption of earthquake energy through hysteresis behavior. Different design codes have considered requirements for ductility of various structural elements. The purpose of this study is to investigate the optimal design equation for stirrups used in ductile reinforced concrete columns. In this investigation, stirrups for three types of columns including the circular column with 750 mm diameter and rectangular columns with dimensions 1000×1000 and 500×500 mm in medium and high ductility were studied. Also, two types of concrete strength 30 and 60 MPa were considered to evaluate the effect of concrete strength. The required stirrups obtained from proposed equations were compared with IR code and ACI. Moreover, numerical simulation using ABAQUS software for aforementioned situations was performed. Finally, the results obtained from DBA and Vikor methods considering axial and rotational ductility, and cost showed that the proposed equations are the most optimal design equation in medium ductility. Also, the proposed equations are the best in high ductility when they were used to columns with concrete strength 30 MPa. In concrete strength 60 MPa, the equations suggested by ACI and IR code are the most optimum as they were applied to the circular column and the rectangular column with cross-section 1000×1000 in high ductility, respectively.

Keywords: Ductility, Stirrup, reinforced concrete column, ABAQUS, DBA

1. Introduction

One of the most important properties of concrete structures is their ductile behavior against earthquake. Structures ductility includes resisting relatively high plastic deflection without significant reduction of structure strength and absorption of earthquake energy through hysteresis behavior. Different codes and requirements such as ACI318 [1] and IR code [2] have presented equations to determine the required stirrup for various ductility levels. Many researches have been conducted to assess the ductility for reinforced concrete columns. Palter et al. [3] investigated the effects of concrete strength and transverse reinforcement on concrete beam column behavior. In another research, they also proposed models predicting the required stirrups for concrete with strength 120 MPa. Seismic behavior of circular and rectangular concrete beam column was studied by Li et al. [4]. The results of the research indicated that all specimens had highly ductile behavior. In this study, models have been proposed to determine the required stirrups for circular and square reinforced concrete columns in medium and high ductility levels. Then, a comparison has been performed between the proposed model with ACI and IR code requirements. Finally, DBA and Vikor methods [5] considering axial and rotational ductility, and cost are used to determine the most optimal design equation for different ductility levels.

2. Methodology

The proposed models of circular columns for medium and high ductility levels were presented in Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively:

$$\rho_s = 0.098k_p \left(\frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}} \right) \quad (1)$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_p = \frac{P}{P_0} \\ P_0 = 0.85(A_g - A_{st})f'_c + A_{st}f_y \end{array} \right.$$

$$\rho_s = 0.17k_p \left(\frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} k_p = \frac{P}{P_0} \\ P_0 = 0.85(A_g - A_{st})f'_c + A_{st}f_y \end{cases}$$

εε

εο Also, the proposed models of square columns for medium and high ductility levels were
 ετ presented in Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively:

$$\frac{A_{sh_y}}{c_y s} = 0.06k_p k_n \left(\frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}} \right) \left(\frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$k_n = \frac{n_1}{n_1 - 2}$$

$$\frac{A_{sh_y}}{c_y s} = 0.09k_p k_n \left(\frac{f'_c}{f_{yh}} \right) \left(\frac{A_g}{A_{ch}} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$k_n = \frac{n_1}{n_1 - 2}$$

εν The aforementioned models were compared with ACI and IR code requiremens. Also, DBA and
 ελ Vikor methods considering axial and rotational ductility, and cost are used to determine the most
 ερ optimal design equation for different ductility levels. The results are discussed in next section.

ο. **3. Results and discussion**

οι According to DBA and Vikor methods, the proposed models are the most optimal design
 οϒ equations in medium ductility for circular and square concrete columns. Also, the proposed
 οϣ models are the most optimal design equations in high ductility for circular and square concrete
 οε columns with concrete strength 30 MPa. However, the equations of ACI and IR codes for
 οο circular and square columns with high ductility and concrete strength 60 MPa are the best design
 οϕ equations, respectively.

οϕ **4. Conclusions**

68 Based on the results, it can be concluded that the proposed models are able to suitably determine
69 the required stirrups for reinforced concrete beam columns in medium and high ductility levels.

70 **References**

71 [1] ACI Committee 318; Buildings Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI318-99) and
72 Commentary (318R-99), American concrete Institute, Farmington Hills and Mich., (1999) 391-
73 392.

74 [2] Section 9 National Building Regulations: Design and Construction of Reinforced concrete
75 buildings, national building regulations, (2013).

76 [3] P. Paultre, L. Legeron, D. Mongeau, Influence of concrete strength and transverse
77 reinforcement yield strength on behaviour of high-strength concrete columns, ACI Structural
78 Journal, 98(4) (2001), 490-501.

79 [4] W. Li, L. Sun, J. Zhao, P. Lu, F. Yang, Development of a confined model for rectangular
80 ordinary reinforced concrete columns, Materials and Structures, 40 (2007) 605–613.

81 [5] M. Pouraminian, S. Pourbakhshian, Multi-criteria shape optimization of open-spandrel
82 concrete arch bridges: Pareto front development and decision-making, World Journal of
83 Engineering, 5(3) (2019) 670–680.

84